arXiv:1212.1887v1 [math.CO] 9 Dec 2012

PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 00, Number 0, Pages 000–000
S 0002-9939(XX)0000-0

A QUADRATIC FORMULA FOR BASIC HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES WITH APPLICATION TO DETERMINANTS

VICTOR J. W. GUO AND JIANG ZENG

(Communicated by Sergei Suslov)

Dedicated to Srinivasa Ramanujan on the occasion of his 125th birth anniversary

Abstract. We prove a general quadratic formula for basic hypergeometric series. As applications, we confirm a recent conjectured quadratic formula for Askey-Wilson polynomials and obtain short proofs of several recent determinant and Pfaffian formulas via the Desnanot-Jacobi adjoint matrix theorem.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we assume that q is a fixed number in $(0,\ 1)$. A q-shifted factorial is defined by

$$(a;q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty}(1-aq^k), \quad \text{and} \quad (a;q)_n = \frac{(a;q)_\infty}{(aq^n;q)_\infty}, \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Following Gasper and Rhaman [4] we shall use the abbreviated notation

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1;q)_n (a_2;q)_n \cdots (a_m;q)_n, \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A *basic hypergeometric series with* $r+1$ *numerators and* r *denominators* is then defined by

$${}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{matrix}; q, z\right] := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}; q)_k z^k}{(q, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; q)_k}.$$

The Askey-Wilson polynomials [2, 4] are the ${}_4\phi_3$ polynomials

$$p_n(x;a,b,c,d;q) := \frac{(ab, ac, ad; q)_n}{a^n} {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n},\ abcdq^{n-1},\ ae^{i\theta},\ ae^{-i\theta} \\ ab,\ ac,\ ad \end{matrix}; q, q\right],$$

where $x = \cos\theta$ and n is a nonnegative integer.

This paper is a follow-up to the papers by Ishikawa et al. [5, 6]. Our main result is the following

---

Received by the editors November 7, 2012 and, in revised form, November 30, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33C45; 33D45; Secondary 05A19.

Key words and phrases. quadratic formula of basic hypergeometric series, Askey-Wilson polynomials, determinants, Pfaffians, Desnanot–Jacobi adjoint matrix theorem.

©XXXX American Mathematical Society

Theorem 1.1. *For $r \geq 1$, there holds*

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1.1)\qquad & (1-1/a_0)(a_1-b_1) \\
& \times {}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_0/q, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r \\ b_1/q, b_2, \ldots, b_r \end{matrix}; q, z\right] {}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_0 q, a_1, a_2 q \ldots, a_r q \\ b_1 q, b_2 q, \ldots, b_r q \end{matrix}; q, z\right] \\
&= (1-a_1/a_0)(1-b_1) \\
&\quad \times {}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_r \end{matrix}; q, z\right] {}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_0, a_1, a_2 q \ldots, a_r q \\ b_1, b_2 q, \ldots, b_r q \end{matrix}; q, z\right] \\
&\quad - (1-a_1)(1-b_1/a_0) \\
&\quad \times {}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_0, a_1/q, a_2, \ldots, a_r \\ b_1/q, b_2, \ldots, b_r \end{matrix}; q, z\right] {}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_0, a_1 q, a_2 q \ldots, a_r q \\ b_1 q, b_2 q, \ldots, b_r q \end{matrix}; q, z\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.2. The special $z = q$, $a_0 = q^{-n+1}$ case of (1.1) was originally conjectured by H. Tagawa (personal communication).

Taking $r = 3$, $z = q$, $a_0 = q^{-n+1}$, $a_1 = abcdq^{n-1}$, $a_2 = ae^{i\theta}$, $a_3 = ae^{-i\theta}$, $b_1 = abq$, $b_2 = ac$ and $b_3 = ad$ in Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following quadratic relation for Askey-Wilson polynomials, which is Conjecture 4.2 in [6].

Corollary 1.3. *Let n be a positive integer. There holds*

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1.2)\qquad & ab(1-q^{n-1})(1-cdq^{n-2}) p_n(x;a,b,c,d;q) p_{n-2}(x;aq,bq,c,d;q) \\
&= (1-abq^{n-1})(1-abcdq^{n-1}) p_{n-1}(x;a,b,c,d;q) p_{n-1}(x;aq,bq,c,d;q) \\
&\quad - (1-ab)(1-abcdq^{2n-2}) p_{n-1}(x;aq,b,c,d;q) p_{n-1}(x;a,bq,c,d;q).
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.4. The special $x = 0$ and $d = -c$ case of (1.2) was first proved in [6, Corollary 4.1].

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in the next section and show how to apply the latter result to give short proofs of some recent determinant and Pfaffian evaluations in Section 3.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Equating the coefficients of z^n on both sides, one sees that (1.1) is equivalent to the following identity

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_k - \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k. \tag{2.1}
$$

where, for $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_k &= (1-a_0^{-1})(a_1-b_1) \frac{(a_0/q, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r; q)_k (a_0 q, a_1, a_2 q, \ldots, a_r q; q)_{n-k}}{(q, b_1/q, b_2, \ldots, b_r; q)_k (q, b_1 q, b_2 q, \ldots, b_r q; q)_{n-k}}, \\
B_k &= (1-a_1/a_0)(1-b_1) \frac{(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r; q)_k (a_0, a_1, a_2 q, \ldots, a_r q; q)_{n-k}}{(q, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_r; q)_k (q, b_1, b_2 q, \ldots, b_r q; q)_{n-k}}, \\
C_k &= (1-a_1)(1-b_1/a_0) \frac{(a_0, a_1/q, a_2, \ldots, a_r; q)_k (a_0, a_1 q, a_2 q, \ldots, a_r q; q)_{n-k}}{(q, b_1/q, b_2, \ldots, b_r; q)_k (q, b_1 q, b_2 q, \ldots, b_r q; q)_{n-k}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we show that, for all $0 \leq k \leq n+1$, there holds

$$
A_k + A_{n-k+1} = B_k + B_{n-k+1} - \big(C_k + C_{n-k+1}\big), \tag{2.2}
$$

where $A_{n+1}=B_{n+1}=C_{n+1}=0$. For $0\le k\le n$, let us multiply the two sides of (2.2) by

$$\frac{(q,b_1,b_2q,\dots,b_rq;q)_{n-k}(q,b_1,b_2,\dots,b_r;q)_k}{(a_0,a_1,a_2q,\dots,a_rq;q)_{n-k}(a_0,a_1,a_2,\dots,a_r;q)_k}.$$

There are two cases.

(i) If $k=0$ or $k=n+1$, then the identity (2.2) reduces to the trivial identity

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-a_0^{-1})(a_1-b_1)\frac{(1-a_0q^n)(1-b_1)}{(1-a_0)(1-b_1q^n)}\\&\quad=(1-a_1/a_0)(1-b_1)-(1-a_1)(1-b_1/a_0)\frac{(1-a_1q^n)(1-b_1)}{(1-a_1)(1-b_1q^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $1\le k\le n$, then the identity (2.2) reduces to the readily checked identity

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-a_0^{-1})(a_1-b_1)\frac{(1-a_0/q)(1-b_1q^{k-1})(1-a_0q^{n-k})(1-b_1)}{(1-a_0q^{k-1})(1-b_1/q)(1-a_0)(1-b_1q^{n-k})}\\&\quad+(1-a_0^{-1})(a_1-b_1)\frac{(1-a_0/q)(1-a_1q^{n-k})(1-q^k)(1-b_1)}{(1-q^{n-k+1})(1-b_1/q)(1-a_0)(1-a_1q^{k-1})}\\&\quad=(1-a_1/a_0)(1-b_1)\\&\quad+(1-a_1/a_0)(1-b_1)\frac{(1-a_0q^{n-k})(1-a_1q^{n-k})(1-q^k)(1-b_1q^{k-1})}{(1-q^{n-k+1})(1-b_1q^{n-k})(1-a_0q^{k-1})(1-a_1q^{k-1})}\\&\quad-(1-a_1)(1-b_1/a_0)\frac{(1-a_1/q)(1-b_1q^{k-1})(1-b_1)(1-a_1q^{n-k})}{(1-a_1q^{k-1})(1-b_1/q)(1-a_1)(1-b_1q^{n-k})}\\&\quad-(1-a_1)(1-b_1/a_0)\frac{(1-a_0q^{n-k})(1-a_1/q)(1-q^k)(1-b_1)}{(1-q^{n-k+1})(1-b_1/q)(1-a_0q^{k-1})(1-a_1)}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2.2). Summing (2.2) over k from 0 to $n+1$ on both sides, we immediately obtain (2.1).

3. Application to determinant and Pfaffian evaluation

Consider the determinant

$$D_n(a,b,c;q):=\det\Big((q^{i-1}-cq^{j-1})\frac{(aq;q)_{i+j-2}}{(abq^2;q)_{i+j-2}}\Big)_{1\le i,j\le n}. \tag{3.1}$$

Given a matrix M, If $i_1,\dots,i_r$ (resp. $j_1,\dots,j_r$) are row (resp. column) indices, we denote by $M_{i_1,\dots,i_r}^{j_1,\dots,j_r}$ the matrix that remains when the rows $i_1,\dots,i_r$ and columns $j_1,\dots,j_r$ are deleted. Let $n\ge 2$ and M be an $n\times n$ matrix. Then the Desnanot-Jacobi adjoint matrix theorem [1, Lemma 7.7] reads

$$\det M\ \det M_{1\,n}^{1\,n}=\det M_1^1\ \det M_n^n-\det M_n^1\ \det M_1^n, \tag{3.2}$$

where we set $\det M_{1\,n}^{1\,n}=1$ if $n=2$. The following formula is equivalent to Theorem 1.1 in [6] and is also an extension of Nishizawa's q-analogue of Mehta-Wang's formula [8, 9].

Corollary 3.1. *For $n \geq 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(a,b,c;q) &= (-1)^n a^{\frac{n(n-3)}{2}} q^{\frac{n(n+1)(2n-5)}{6}} (abcq;q^2)_n \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(q;q)_{k-1}(aq;q)_k(bq;q)_{k-2}}{(abq^2;q)_{k+n-2}} \\ &\quad \times {}_4\phi_3\left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n},\ abq^n,\ (acq)^{\frac12},\ -(acq)^{\frac12} \\ aq,\ (abcq)^{\frac12},\ -(abcq)^{\frac12} \end{matrix};q,q\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. For $n=1$, the formula is obvious. Assume that $n \geq 2$. Applying (3.2) to the determinant in (3.1) we obtain (see [6, (4.1)])

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(a,b,c;q)\,D_{n-2}(aq^2,b,c;q) &= \frac{q(aq;q)_2}{(abq^2;q)_2} D_{n-1}(a,b,c;q)\,D_{n-1}(aq^2,b,c;q) \\ &\quad - q\Big(\frac{1-aq}{1-abq^2}\Big)^n\Big(\frac{1-abq^3}{1-aq^2}\Big)^{n-2} D_{n-1}(aq,b,cq;q)\,D_{n-1}(aq,b,cq^{-1};q). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, if we take $r=3$, $z=q$, $a_0=q^{-n+1}$, $a_1=abq^n$, $a_3=-a_2=\sqrt{acq}$, $b_1=aq^2$, $b_3=-b_2=\sqrt{abcq}$ in Theorem 1.1, then we get a quadratic equation, which shows that the right-hand side of (3.3) satisfies the recurrence relation (3.4) (see [6, Eq. (4.3)]). The result then follows by induction on n. $\square$

Remark 3.2. The right-hand side of (3.3) is a multiple of a special Askey-Wilson polynomial. For general Askey-Wilson polynomials Wilson [10] proved a Gram determinant formula. In view of (1.2) there might be a different determinant formula generalizing $D_n(a,b,c;q)$ for Askey-Wilson polynomials.

If $c=1$, we can sum the ${}_4\phi_3$ in (3.3) by Andrews' terminating q-analogue of Watson's formula [4, II.17]

$$ {}_4\phi_3\left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n},\ a^2q^{n+1},\ b,\ -b \\ aq,\ -aq,\ b^2 \end{matrix};q,q\right] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \dfrac{b^n(q,a^2q^2/b^2;q^2)_{n/2}}{(a^2q^2,\,b^2q;q^2)_{n/2}}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} $$

and deduce the following result, which was first proved in [5], and is also a q-analogue of [7, Theorem 6].

Corollary 3.3. *For $m \geq 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} &\det\Big((q^{i-1}-q^{j-1})\frac{(aq;q)_{i+j-2}}{(abq^2;q)_{i+j-2}}\Big)_{1\leq i,j\leq 2m} \\ &\quad = a^{2m(m-1)} q^{\frac{2m(m-1)(4m+1)}{3}} \prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{(q,aq;q)_{2k-1}(bq;q)_{2k-2}}{(abq^2;q)_{2(k+m)-3}}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall [1] that the Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric matrix $A=(A_{i,j})_{1\leq i,j\leq 2m}$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Pf} A = \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{M}[1,\ldots,2m]} \operatorname{sgn}\pi \prod_{\substack{i<j \\ i,j \text{ matched in } \pi}} A_{i,j}. \tag{3.5}$$

Here $\mathcal{M}[a,\ldots,b]$ is the set of perfect matchings of the complete graph on $\{a,\ldots,b\}$ for any nonnegative integers a and b such that $a<b$, and $\operatorname{sgn}\pi=(-1)^{\operatorname{cr}\pi}$, where

$\operatorname{cr}\pi$ is the number of matched pairs $(i,\ j)$ and $(i',\ j')$ in π such that $i < i' < j < j'$. The following result was first proved by Ishikawa et al. [5].

Corollary 3.4. *For $m \geq 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Pf}\left((q^{i-1} - q^{j-1})\frac{(aq;q)_{i+j-2}}{(abq^2;q)_{i+j-2}}\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq 2m}\\ &\quad = a^{m(m-1)} q^{\frac{m(m-1)(4m+1)}{3}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(q,aq;q)_{2k-1}(bq;q)_{2k-2}}{(abq^2;q)_{2(k+m)-3}}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. As the square of the Pfaffian of any skew-symmetric matrix is its determinant, we derive from Corollary 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Pf}\left((q^{i-1} - q^{j-1})\frac{(aq;q)_{i+j-2}}{(abq^2;q)_{i+j-2}}\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq 2m}\\ &\quad = \varepsilon_m a^{m(m-1)} q^{\frac{m(m-1)(4m+1)}{3}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(q,aq;q)_{2k-1}(bq;q)_{2k-2}}{(abq^2;q)_{2(k+m)-3}},\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

where $\varepsilon_m^2 = 1$. By (3.6), the factor ε_m is a rational function of a, b and q, and only takes values 1 or -1. Hence, for fixed m, we must have $\varepsilon_m = 1$ or $\varepsilon_m = -1$ regardless the values of a, b and q. It remains to show that $\varepsilon_m = 1$ for all $m \geq 1$. Obviously we have $\varepsilon_1 = 1$. Suppose that $m \geq 2$. Taking $b = 0$ and replacing a by q^{a-1} the identity (3.6) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Pf}\big((q^i - q^j)(q^a;q)_{i+j}\big)_{0\leq i,j\leq 2m-1}\\ &\quad = \varepsilon_m q^{m(m-1)(a-1)+\frac{m(m-1)(4m+1)}{3}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} (q,q^a;q)_{2k-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Using the q-gamma function [4, p. 20]

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(q;q)_\infty}{(q^x;q)_\infty}(1-q)^{1-x}, \qquad 0 < q < 1,$$

we can rewrite (3.7) as

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Pf}\left(q^i[j-i]_q\Gamma_q(a+i+j)\right)_{0\leq i,j\leq 2m-1}\\ &\quad = \varepsilon_m q^{m(m-1)(a-1)+\frac{m(m-1)(4m+1)}{3}} \prod_{k=1}^{m}[2k-1]_q!\,\Gamma_q(a+2k-1),\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

where $[n]_q! = [1]_q[2]_q\cdots[n]_q$ and $[k]_q = (1-q^k)/(1-q)$. If we multiply both sides of (3.8) by $[a+1]_q$ and let a tend to -1, then, the right-hand side becomes

$$\varepsilon_m q^{\frac{m(m-1)(4m-5)}{3}} \prod_{k=1}^{m}[2k-1]_q! \prod_{k=1}^{m-1}\Gamma_q(2k).\tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand, by (3.5), the left-hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{M}[0,\ldots,2m-1]} \operatorname{sgn}\pi \lim_{a\to -1}[a+1]_q \prod_{\substack{i<j\\ i,j\text{matched in }\pi}} q^i[j-i]_q\Gamma_q(a+i+j).\tag{3.10}$$

In this sum, matchings π for which all matched pairs i, j satisfy $i+j>1$ will not contribute, because the corresponding summands vanish. Therefore, the survival matchings must match 0 and 1 and the sum in (3.10) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\pi'\in\mathcal{M}[2,\dots,2m-1]} \operatorname{sgn}\pi' \prod_{\substack{i<j\\ i,j\text{mached in }\pi'}} q^i[j-i]_q\Gamma_q(i+j-1)\\
&\quad=\operatorname{Pf}\left(q^i[j-i]_q\Gamma_q(i+j-1)\right)_{2\le i,j\le 2m-1}\\
&\quad=\operatorname{Pf}\left(q^{i+2}[j-i]_q\Gamma_q(i+j+3)\right)_{0\le i,j\le 2m-3}\\
&\quad=\varepsilon_{m-1}q^{\frac{m(m-1)(4m-5)}{3}}\prod_{k=1}^{m}[2k-1]_q!\prod_{k=1}^{m-1}\Gamma_q(2k).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing with (3.9) we see that $\varepsilon_m=\varepsilon_{m-1}=\cdots=\varepsilon_1=1$. ☐

Remark 3.5. Except the trivial but crucial point that ε_m is independent of a,b and q, the above proof is a q-adaptation of Ciucu and Krattenthaler's proof [3] for the $q\to 1$ case of (3.8):

$$\operatorname{Pf}((j-i)\Gamma(a+i+j))_{0\le i,j\le 2m-1}=\prod_{k=1}^{m}(2k-1)!\Gamma(a+2k-1). \tag{3.11}$$

As we have shown that ε_m is actually independent of q, we could also reduce the proof directly to (3.11) by taking the limit $q\to 1$ in (3.8).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Masao Ishikawa and Hiroyuki Tagawa for helpful discussions. This work was partially supported by CMIRA COOPERA 2012 de la Région Rhône-Alpes.

REFERENCES

1. M. Aigner, A Course in Enumeration, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 238, Springer, 2007.
2. R. Askey and J. Wilson, Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1985), no. 319.
3. M. Ciucu and C. Krattenthaler, The interaction of a gap with a free boundary in a two dimensional dimer system, Comm. Math. Phys. 302 (2011), 253–289.
4. G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basis Hypergeometric Series, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 96, 2nd Edition, Cambride University Press, 2004.
5. M. Ishikawa, H. Tagawa and J. Zeng, Pfaffian decomposition and a Pfaffian analogue of q–Catalan Hankel determinants, arXiv:1011.5941, 2010.
6. M. Ishikawa, H. Tagawa and J. Zeng, A generalization of Mehta-Wang determinant and Askey-Wilson polynomials, arXiv:1210.5305v1, 2012.
7. C. Krattenthaler, Evaluations of some determinants of matrices related to the Pascal triangle, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, B47g (2002), 19 pp.
8. M.L. Mehta and R. Wang, Calculation of a certain determinant, Commun. Math. Phys. 214 (2000), 227–232.
9. M. Nishizawa, Evaluation of a certain q-determinant, Linear Algebra Appl. 343 (2002), 107–115.
10. J.A. Wilson, Orthogonal functions from Gram determinants, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 22 (1991), 1147–1155.

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: `jwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn`

Université de Lyon; Université Lyon 1; Institut Camille Jordan, UMR 5208 du CNRS; 43, boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France
E-mail address: `zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr`